BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRITT PETITION NO. 3424 /2006

PETITIONER

Jagannath Verma, aged about 59 years, son of Shri Hari Prasad Verma, occupation – Service, resident of Ambikapur Guest House, Manendragarh Road, *District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)*

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secrretary and Ministry of Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection Department, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Secretary, Food, Civil Supply and Consumer Protection Department, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR 11/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

(19)

मामला क्रमांक WPRD 3484/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13-7-2006 Shri Ashok Kumar Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. By the instant petition, the petitioner has prayed that respondents be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within 15 days. The case of the petitioner is that he demitted the office of the Food Officer on 31.5.2006 on attaining the age of superannuation. Thereafter, the petitioner moved for appointment on contractual basis. The petitioner made a representation, but that is not being decided by respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondents be directed to decide representation of the petitioner. The petitioner has no legal right to be appointed on contractual basis after the retirement, therefore, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not legally sustainable. The writ petition is, therefore, liable to be dismissed and it is hereby dismissed. No order as to costs. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		

[पीछे देखिये

18.7.06 19.7.06